5. Results and discussion

5.1 Comparative metrics study

The proposed method requires inputs from the simulator developers and the users to validate the applicability of the score. The Base score of simulators of the proposed parameters can be populated from the information provided from the documentation of the simulator. However, some parameters like Photorealism are subjective and cannot be fetched from the distinct sources. For evaluation these parameters these parameters are scored based on average results from various people. For user weights, two users who use simulators for different use case are identified and the parameters are scored based on the subjects’ perspective. The 3 simulators considered are CARLA [23], Summit [24], LGVSL [25]. The base score of these simulators can be found in the appendix. The Base score is populated from the information provided by the official Documentation.

The use case of the subjects used for evaluation is as follows

User1: User is a research student. Interested mainly on sensory data generated, feedback and training a DL model. Degree of Realism is not so important but would be an advantage

User2: User is a Software tester at an automobile company working on validation of model performance in real world.

The user weight of the parameters of these users can be found in the appendix. Table 3 summarizes the results from the evaluation. The score in the table corresponds to the final score which can be directly used for comparison.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | CARLA | Summit | LGVSL |
| User 1 | 18.5 | 21 | 19.7 |
| User 2 | 22.5 | 34 | 36 |

Table 3: Final scores of comparisons

The score shows that from the 3 simulators considered LGVSL suits well to the user 2 and SUMMIT appeals the most to User 1. This co relates with the fact that LGVSL provides various facility to integrate real world components which should be crucial for Use case of user 2 and Summit can deliver realistic traffic behaviours and as it is built on top of Carla, this can take the advantage of platforms provided by Carla to train ML algorithms. However, there should be a Mandatory parameter criterion where a parameter is mandatory for a user and if any of the simulators considered for evaluation doesn’t offer it, it should be excluded from the evaluation. This can aid the user to define some MUST criteria.

5.2 Generative model based simulators
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